

1 Type of article: Review

2

3 **Rehabilitation of Pelvic fracture**

4

5 Yosuke Nagai *

6

7 Osaka City General Hospital, Department of Orthopedics Surgery

8

9 2-13-22 Miyakojimahondori, Miyakojima, Osaka city, Osaka, Japan

10

11 yosukenagaiocgh@gmail.com

12

13 Number of tables and figures : 1

14

15 Running title:

16 Review : Rehabilitation of Pelvic fracture

17

18

19 **Abstract**

20 Rehabilitation protocols for treating pelvic fractures lack uniformity due to variations
21 among patients, injury mechanisms, and fracture types. The incidence of pelvic fragility
22 fractures in the elderly has risen in recent years, prompting discussions on treatment
23 strategies, including conservative approaches. This article aims to conduct a literature
24 review of rehabilitation practices following pelvic fractures reported up to September
25 2023, to elucidate the current state of the field. We conducted a search on PubMed for
26 literature published prior to September 2023. No systematic selection was applied to
27 articles published thereafter. The search criteria excluded non-English publications, case
28 reports, pediatric fractures, and studies where the primary outcome did not focus on
29 clinical aspects of pelvic fractures. We included a total of 201 papers, narrowing it down
30 to 35 through title and abstract screening. This article described loading protocols,
31 sexual dysfunction, mental dysfunction, surgical techniques and methods of functional
32 assessment. Rehabilitation policies vary, often determined on a case-by-case basis,
33 specifically by individual surgeons or treatment centers. No unified protocols exist at
34 present, but future research will hopefully lead to significant progress.

35 **Keywords:** Pelvic fracture, Fragility Fracture of Pelvis, Rehabilitation, Weight bear

36

37 レビュー：骨盤骨折後のリハビリテーション

38

39 永井洋輔

40

41 大阪市立総合医療センター 整形外科

42

43 大阪市都島区都島本通 2-13-22

44

45

46

47 要旨

48

49 <背景>

50 骨盤骨折の治療においては、患者、受傷機序、骨折の種類が多様であるため、

51 統一されたリハビリテーションプロトコルは存在しない。近年、高齢者の骨盤

52 脆弱性骨折が増加しており、保存的治療を含めて治療戦略が議論されている。

53 <目的>

54 本発表の目的は、2023.9年までに報告された骨盤骨折後のリハビリテーション

55 に関する文献レビューを行い、現状を理解することである。

56 <方法>

57 2023年9月以前の文献の検索には Pubmed を使用した。それ以降に発表された

58 論文については系統的な抽出は行わなかった。タイトル/抄録のスクリーニング

59 で次の項目を除外した。英語でないもの、症例報告、小児骨折、主要転帰が骨

60 盤骨折の臨床成績でない報告。

61 <結果>

62 201の論文が対象となり、タイトル/抄録スクリーニングによって最終的に35

63 の論文に絞られた。リハビリの方法に関する方針は、施設ごとさらには外科医

64 ごとに決定されていると考えられる。

65 <結論>

66 骨盤骨折に対するリハビリテーションの現状をレビューした。荷重プロトコー

67 ル、性機能障害、精神機能障害、手術手技や術後機能の評価方法について述べ

68 た。現状では、統一されたプロトコルは存在しないが、いくつかのコンセンサ

69 スはあり、今後の研究により大きな進歩が期待される。

70

71

72

73 **Introduction**

74 The incidence of pelvic fragility fractures among elderly individuals has been on the
75 rise in recent years, prompting discussions on treatment strategies, including
76 conservative approaches. Alexander et al. (1) highlighted a notable in-hospital mortality
77 rate associated with conservative management of low-energy pelvic fractures in patients
78 aged over 65 years. Their study underscored a relatively elevated in-hospital mortality
79 rate of 3.28%, particularly pronounced among male patients and those of Asian descent.
80 There is a lack of a standardized rehabilitation protocol for pelvic fracture treatment due
81 to the varying demographics of patients, mechanisms of injury, types of fractures, and
82 treatment modalities. Previous literature has, at times, conflated discussions on pelvic
83 ring fractures and acetabular fractures (intra-articular fractures), or has concurrently
84 addressed high-energy fractures in younger patients alongside fragility fractures in older
85 populations. This article aims to conduct a comprehensive literature review of
86 rehabilitation strategies following pelvic fractures reported up to September 2023,
87 aiming to elucidate the current state of the field.

88

89 **Materials and Methods**

90 A literature search was conducted using PubMed to identify relevant publications up to

91 September 2023. Articles published after this date were not systematically included. The
92 search query utilized the terms ("pelvic fracture" OR "pelvic injury" OR "pelvic
93 trauma") AND ("rehabilitation" OR "physical therapy" OR "physiotherapy").
94 Exclusions applied as of September 2023 were: non-English language, case reports,
95 pediatric fractures, and studies where the primary outcome did not focus on clinical
96 outcomes for pelvic fractures. The search flowchart is presented in Figure 1.

97

98 **Results and Discussion**

99 A total of 201 papers were initially considered eligible, but after screening based on title
100 and abstract, the number was reduced to 35.

101 These papers can be classified into six main areas: functional outcomes, locomotion and
102 walking ability, loading protocols, sexual dysfunction, surgical techniques, and
103 psychological and spiritual assessments. It was assumed that there would be few reports
104 with a high level of evidence (2, 3).

105

106 < 1. Functional outcomes >

107 Lefavre et al. (4) conducted a systematic review of functional outcome measures
108 following surgery for pelvic ring fractures, revealing a wide variation in reported scores.

109 The most frequently utilized scoring system was the Short Form 36 (SF-36). Some
110 studies also employed the Majeed, Iowa Pelvic, Hannover Pelvic, and Orlando Pelvic
111 Scores. They concluded that the existing literature is insufficient to meaningfully guide
112 surgeons or patients regarding the functional outcomes of these fractures after fixation.
113 Kokubo et al. (5) investigated factors associated with unsatisfactory short- and long-
114 term postoperative outcomes in 82 patients with unstable pelvic ring fractures. Multiple
115 logistic regression analysis indicated that lower limb fracture (odds ratio (OR): 5.64),
116 conservative treatment (OR: 13.690), and nerve injury (OR: 21.392) were determinants
117 of unsatisfactory short-term functional outcomes. Additionally, nerve damage (OR:
118 66.926) and ring displacement exceeding 20 mm (OR: 33.944) were found to be
119 determinants of long-term functional outcomes. Standardization of assessment systems,
120 particularly for the elderly, is crucial as short-term postoperative outcomes may carry
121 greater significance.

122

123 <2. Locomotion and walking ability>

124 Kubota et al. (6) conducted a comparative study examining gait analysis and muscle
125 strength measurements in 19 patients with pelvic ring fractures, both at 3 and 12 months
126 postoperatively, in comparison with those of age- and sex-matched healthy controls.

127 Additionally, a similar study was conducted on 19 patients with acetabular fractures (7).
128 Their findings revealed a distinctive gait pattern characterized by lower walking
129 velocity, step length, and cadence, which gradually approached normal levels by the 12-
130 month mark in pelvic ring fractures. Notably, complete recovery was observed in peak
131 hip abduction and ankle plantar flexion moments by the 12-month assessment. In
132 contrast, for acetabular fractures, the majority of kinematic and kinetic variables had
133 returned to control levels by three months post-surgery. However, pelvic forward tilt
134 remained diminished, with abductor muscle strength notably compromised, registering
135 at 64.6% at 3 months and 75.4% at 12 months. Physiotherapy targeting posterior pelvic
136 tilt and abductor muscle weakness was deemed more effective in this context.
137 Moreover, Karin et al. (8) noted a reduction in physical activity levels, particularly in
138 long-distance walks, among patients aged 60 years or older with hip or pelvic fractures,
139 three months post-discharge. Interestingly, their study revealed that despite efforts to
140 improve physical activity during inpatient rehabilitation, these gains were not sustained
141 upon returning home.

142

143 <3. Loading protocols>

144 The inaugural investigation in this domain was the 2019 Systematic Review led by

145 Rickman et al. (9). They noted the absence of randomized trials addressing
146 postoperative weight-bearing protocols following pelvic fracture surgery, with only one
147 out of 122 papers explicitly addressing this concern. Moreover, over half of the studies
148 documenting functional outcomes failed to detail the postoperative protocols, thereby
149 complicating the interpretation of data. The review asserted that an average partial
150 weight-bearing duration of 8–10 weeks was consistently recommended across varied
151 fracture types and injury severities, with longer durations observed for AO/OTA
152 classification type C fractures. The authors emphasized the paucity of direct evidence
153 available to guide surgical intervention, stating, "It is evident that treating surgeons lack
154 substantial guidance." In a subsequent literature review, Murena et al. (10) explored
155 early loading in acetabular fractures. They posited that early postoperative loading
156 might facilitate bony fusion, hasten functional recovery, and expedite the resumption of
157 daily activities. However, they found limited clinical evidence supporting early weight-
158 bearing in pelvic fractures, especially acetabular ones. The authors concluded that
159 further studies evaluating fixation techniques and quality are warranted. They suggested
160 that early loading could be beneficial in acetabular and partially unstable pelvic ring
161 fractures among the elderly, citing reports of full loading commencing at 4 weeks
162 postoperatively, provided pain tolerance. Seo et al. (11) documented a 22% compliance

163 rate with loading restrictions among patients aged over 65, while Pfeufer et al. (12)
164 reported near impossibility of partial loading in a cohort with an average age of 84
165 years. Furthermore, insole-based measurements revealed lower loading in Rommens
166 classification type IV compared to type I, even when full loading was permitted.
167 For young patients, the most appropriate indication for postoperative management of PF
168 involves a non-weight-bearing period of 6–12 weeks, with early passive mobilization
169 beginning after 15 days. Subsequently, a progressive increase in weight load of
170 approximately 25% per week can be initiated.
171 While we recognize the widespread use of this protocol, it's important to note that
172 rehabilitation policies may vary on a facility-by-facility basis, and more specifically, on
173 a surgeon-by-surgeon basis.

174

175 <4. Sexual dysfunction>

176 In 2014, a prospective study by Katherine et al. (13) showed that sexual function and
177 quality of life were significantly reduced one year after a pelvic fracture and that sexual
178 dysfunction was an independent risk factor for reduced quality of life after injury. To the
179 best of our knowledge, this is the first such study. In 2023, the American National
180 Database reported 6174 pelvic fracture patients, including childbirth and sexual

181 dysfunction. Pelvic fractures have a dramatic impact on the quality of life of women
182 through sexual dysfunction and increase the probability of cesarean sections (14). A
183 systematic review of the relationship between pelvic fractures and sexual dysfunction in
184 men and women was conducted in 2021. Florian et al. (15) reported that 37% of male
185 patients with pelvic ring fractures developed EDs and that appropriate rehabilitation
186 may prevent a decline in quality of life. Alice et al. (16) examined the relationship
187 between pelvic fractures and female sexual dysfunction. Female sexual dysfunction
188 after pelvic fractures ranged from 25% to 62%. There is a need to characterize sexual
189 dysfunction in patients recovering from injury and establish effective treatments through
190 large prospective studies.

191

192 <5. Differences in rehabilitation according to technique>

193 Numerous reports have addressed various aspects of this domain. The following are
194 select examples: In 1991, Latenser et al. (17) asserted that very early surgical
195 intervention—within eight hours—could potentially decrease hospitalization duration,
196 lower complication and bleeding rates, and enhance survival rates. Nevertheless,
197 ongoing debates persist regarding the optimal timing for surgical intervention. Pradeep
198 et al. (18) documented that locking plate fixation for symphyseal disruption proves

199 efficacious for early loading and boasts a minimal complication rate.

200 Breann et al. (19) reported a higher frequency of fixation failure in vertical shear-type

201 pelvic ring fractures (AO/OTA 61C1) in the group treated with a single transsacral (TS)

202 screw. However, no failures were observed in sacroiliac joint dislocations using similar

203 fixation techniques. In recent years, some reports have described LC1-type fractures

204 according to the Young-Burgess classification. Historically, conservative treatment has

205 been utilized; however, even in a patient population with an average age of 45 years,

206 conservative treatment for unstable fractures has been shown to prolong the time to

207 independent walking and return to work (20). Min et al. (21) reported that the INFIX

208 technique performed better than conventional cannulated screws (CCS) for anterior

209 fixation of LC1 fractures in elderly patients. There were no differences observed in the

210 length of hospital stay or complications, and early loading was feasible. A TULIP study

211 protocol has been registered to evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of

212 conservative treatment for unstable LC1 fractures (22). Oda et al. (23) reported

213 favorable outcomes using the ability to reposition on the bed and transfer to a

214 wheelchair, regardless of the fracture type, and the ability to commence gait training

215 within three weeks as criteria for surgical treatment. This study might offer a reasonable

216 treatment strategy, although attention should be given to the potential exacerbation of

217 fractures.

218

219 <6. Psychological and Spiritual Assessments>

220 A comprehensive rehabilitation program is essential in the postoperative management of

221 pelvic fractures, and psychological support is equally crucial (24). According to a

222 systematic review by Muscatelli et al., which examined 7109 adult patients following

223 pelvic trauma, 32.6% experienced depression, and 26.6% suffered from PTSD (25). In a

224 prospective study, McMinn et al. found that PTSD, depression, alcohol dependence, and

225 pain scores showed poor recovery even 12 months after injury (26).

226 Two studies have investigated rehabilitation interventions and their assessment

227 concerning fear of falling (27, 28). Kampe et al. presented a unified intervention

228 protocol in their study and implemented it. The protocol comprises six steps: 1)

229 relaxation, 2) engagement in meaningful activities and mobility-oriented goals, 3)

230 addressing fall-related cognition and emotions, coping strategies for high-risk tasks and

231 situations, 4) personalized exercise programs, 5) planning and execution of exercises

232 and activities, and 6) identification of fall risks and hazards. This intervention follows a

233 sequential process spanning two months, involving both telephone interviews and in-

234 person visits. The study reports the outcomes of the intervention. In the field of nursing

235 science, the significance of comprehensive mental health care has been highlighted,
236 with active participation in social activities deemed crucial (29). It is anticipated that
237 numerous future studies will explore this topic from various angles.

238

239 **Conclusion**

240 The current status of rehabilitation for pelvic fractures was reviewed. Although there
241 were few high-quality reports, some degree of consensus was identified. Early surgery
242 to ensure bony stability may be useful as a way of preventing a decline in ADL,
243 particularly in older patients, who may have difficulty with partial loading. Sexual and
244 mental dysfunction, often seen as a complication, has a significant impact on the
245 patient's quality of life. Physicians treating pelvic fractures need to be aware of total
246 management, and multidisciplinary team care can be an important factor in improving
247 outcomes. Future large-scale studies using uniform standards for surgical technique and
248 functional assessment are essential. Consequently, treatment approaches must evolve to
249 meet contemporary needs. Although standardised protocols are currently lacking, it is
250 hoped that significant advances will be made in the future through extensive, high-
251 quality research.

252 **Acknowledgments**

253

254 **Conflict of interest:** We have no commercial associations (e.g., consultancies, stock
255 ownership, or equity).

256 interest, patent/licensing arrangements), which might pose a conflict of interest in

257 connection with the submitted article.

258

259 **References**

260 (1)Farid AR, Stearns SA, Atarere JO, Suneja N, Weaver MJ, Von Keudell AG. 2023.

261 Trends in non-operative management of low-energy pelvic fracture: An analysis of the

262 Nationwide Inpatient Sample. *Journal of Orthopaedics* 43: 101-108. doi:

263 10.1016/j.jor.2023.07.028

264 (2)Keene DJ, Forde C, Sugavanam T, Williams MA, Lamb SE. 2020. Exercise for

265 people with a fragility fracture of the pelvis or lower limb: a systematic review of

266 interventions evaluated in clinical trials and reporting quality. *BMC Musculoskeletal*

267 *Disorders* 21: 435. doi: 10.1186/s12891-020-03361-8

268 (3)Yakkanti RR, Mohile NV, Levy WBC, Haziza S, Lavelle MJ, Bellam KG, Quinnan

269 SM. 2023. Perioperative management of acetabular and pelvic fractures: evidence-based

270 recommendations. *Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery* 143: 1311-1321. doi:

271 10.1007/s00402-021-04278-0

272 (4)Lefaivre KA, Slobogean GP, Valeriote J, O'Brien PJ, Macadam SA. 2012. Reporting
273 and interpretation of the functional outcomes after the surgical treatment of disruptions
274 of the pelvic ring. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 94-B:549–55. doi: 10.1302/0301-

275 620X.94B4.27960

276 (5)Kokubo Y, Oki H, Sugita D, Takeno K, Miyazaki T, Negoro K, Nakajima H. 2017.

277 Functional outcome of patients with unstable pelvic ring fracture: Comparison of short-
278 and long-term prognostic factors. *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery* 25(1): 1-6. doi:

279 10.1177/2309499016684322

280 (6)Kubota M, Uchida K, Kokubo Y, Shimada S, Matsuo H, Yayama T, Miyazaki T,

281 Sugita D, Watanabe S, Baba H. 2013. Postoperative gait analysis and hip muscle

282 strength in patients with pelvic ring fracture. *Gait & Posture* 38:385-390. doi:

283 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.12.016

284 (7)Kubota M, Uchida K, Kokubo Y, Shimada S, Matsuo H, Yayama T, Miyazaki T,

285 Takeura N, Yoshida A, Baba H. 2012. Changes in gait pattern and hip muscle strength

286 after open reduction and internal fixation of acetabular fracture. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*

287 93:-21. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2012.01.016

288 (8)Kampe K, Pfeiffer K, Lindemann U, Schoene D, Taraldsen K, Rapp K, Becker C,

289 Klenk J. 2021. Change of physical activity parameters of hip and pelvic fracture patients
290 during inpatient rehabilitation and after discharge: analysis of global and in-depth
291 parameters. *European Review of Aging and Physical Activity* 18: 9. doi:
292 10.1186/s11556-021-00261-1

293 (9)Rickman M, Link BC, Solomon LB. 2019. Patient weight-bearing after pelvic
294 fracture surgery—a systematic review of the literature: what is the modern evidence
295 base? *Strategies in Trauma and Limb Reconstruction* 14(1):45-52 doi: 10.5005/jp-
296 journals-10080-1414

297 (10)Murena L, Canton G, Hoxhaj B, Sborgia A, Fattori R, Gulli S, Vaienti E. 2021.
298 Early weight bearing in acetabular and pelvic fractures. *Acta Biomed* 92(4): e2021236.

299 (11)Seo H, Lee GJ, Shon HC, Kong HH, Oh M, Cho H, Lee CJ. 2020. Factors affecting
300 compliance with weight-bearing restriction and the amount of weight-bearing in the
301 elderly with femur or pelvic fractures. *Ann Rehabil Med* 44(2): 109-116. doi:
302 10.5535/arm.2020.44.2.109

303 (12)Pfeufer D, Becker CA, Faust L, Keppler AM, Stagg M, Kammerlander C, Bocker
304 W, Neuerburg C. 2020. Load-bearing detection with insole-force sensors provides new
305 treatment insights in fragility fracture of the pelvis. *J Clinical Med* 9: 2551. doi:
306 10.3390/jcm9082551

307 (13)Harvey-Kelly KF, Kanakaris NK, Obakponovwe O, West RM, Giannoudis PV.
308 2014. Quality of life and sexual function after traumatic pelvic fracture. *J Orthop*
309 *Trauma* 28: 28-36. doi: 10.1016/j.jsxm.2022.08.198. Epub 2022 Oct 8.

310 (14)Chen K, Bhattacharjee S, Seidel H, Dillman DB, Strelzow JA. 2023. Association of
311 pelvic trauma with rates of cesarean section, sexual dysfunction, and genitourinary
312 dysfunction in a national database. *Journal of the AAOS Global Research & Reviews*
313 7(4). doi: 10.5435/JAAOSGlobal-D-22-00166

314 (15)Schmid FA, Held U, Eberli D, Pape HC, Halvachizadeh S. 2021. Erectile
315 dysfunction and penile rehabilitation after pelvic fracture: a systematic review and
316 meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 11: e045117. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045117

317 (16)Walton AB, Leinwand GZ, Raheem O, Hellstrom WJG, Brandes SB, Benson CR.
318 2021. Female sexual dysfunction after pelvic fracture: a comprehensive review of the
319 literature. *J Sex Med* 18: 467e473. doi: 10.1016/j.jsxm.2020.12.014

320 (17)Latenser BA, Gentilello LM, Tarver AA, Thalgott JS, Batdorf JW. 1991 Improved
321 outcome with early fixation of skeletally unstable pelvic fractures. *J Trauma* 31(1): 28-
322 31. doi: 10.1097/00005373-199101000-00006.

323 (18)Kankanal P, Orfanos G, Dwyer J, Lim J, Youssef B. 2021. Can locking plate
324 fixation of symphyseal disruptions allow early weight bearing? *Injury* 52(10): 2725-

325 2729. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2020.02.094

326 (19)Tisano BK, Kelly DP, Starr AJ, Sathy AK. 2020. Vertical shear pelvic ring injuries:
327 do transsacral screws prevent fixation failure? *OTA International* e0840. doi:
328 10.1016/j.jor.2023.07.028

329 (20)Rojas C, Ewertz E, Hormazabal J. 2022. Revisiting non-operative treatment of
330 lateral compression pelvic fractures, analysis of rehabilitation, and radiologic outcomes
331 in a historical cohort using today's AO stability criteria. *Cureus* 14(12): e32101. doi:
332 10.7759/cureus.32101

333 (21)Zou M, Duan X, Li M, Ma L, Fang M, Sun J. 2023. Comparison of the two surgery
334 methods combined with accelerated rehabilitation in the treatment of lateral
335 compression type 1 pelvic fractures in the elderly. *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and*
336 *Research* 18: 734. doi: 10.1186/s13018-023-04219-0

337 (22)Barnfield S, Ingram J, Halliday R, Griffin X, Greenwood R, Kandiyali R,
338 Thompson J, Glynn J, Beasant L, McArthur J, Bates P, Acharya M. 2020. TULIP: a
339 randomised controlled trial of surgical versus non-surgical treatment of lateral
340 compression injuries of the pelvis with complete sacral fractures (LC1) in the non-
341 fragility fracture patient—a feasibility study protocol. *BMJ Open* 10: e036588. doi:
342 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-036588

343 (23)Oda T, Kitada S, Hirase H, Takada Y, Iwasa K, Niikura T. 2023. Evaluation of a
344 treatment protocol based on conservative therapy for fragility fractures of the pelvis.
345 *European Journal of Trauma and Emergency*. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00068-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00068-024-02469-z)
346 [024-02469-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00068-024-02469-z)

347 (24)Piccione F, Maccarone MC, Cortese AM, Rocca G, Sansubrino U, Piran G, Masiero
348 S. 2021. Pelvic fracture rehabilitation updated 2021. *Eur J Transl Myol* 31(3): 9933.
349 doi: [10.4081/ejtm.2021.9933](https://doi.org/10.4081/ejtm.2021.9933)

350 (25)Muscatelli S, Spurr H, O'Hara NN, O'Hara LM, Sprague SA, Slobogean GP. 2017.
351 Prevalence of depression and posttraumatic stress disorder after acute orthopaedic
352 trauma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Trauma* 31(1). doi:
353 [10.1097/BOT.0000000000000664](https://doi.org/10.1097/BOT.0000000000000664)

354 (26)McMinn KR, Thomas EV, Martin KR, Khetan JN, McShan EE, Bennett MM, Solis
355 J, Jones AL, Powers MB, Warren AM. 2020. Psychological morbidity and functional
356 impairment following traumatic pelvic injury. *Injury* 51(4): 978-983. doi:
357 [10.1016/j.injury.2020.02.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2020.02.038)

358 (27)Kampe K, Kohler M, Albrecht D, Becker C, Hautzinger M, Lindemann U, Pfeiffer
359 K. 2017. Hip and pelvic fracture patients with fear of falling: development and
360 description of the “Step by Step” treatment protocol. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 31(5): 571-

361 581. doi: 10.1177/0269215517691584

362 (28)Dautel A, Gross M, Abel B, Pomiersky R, Eckert T, Hauer K, Schaufele M,
363 Buchele G, Becker C, Pfeiffer K. 2021. Psychometric properties of the German version
364 of the Fear of Falling Questionnaire revised (FFQ R) in a sample of older adults after
365 hip or pelvic fracture. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research* 33: 329-337. doi:
366 10.1007/s40520-020-01657-2

367 (29)Abrahamsen C, Nørgaard B. 2021. Elderly patients' perspectives on treatment, care
368 and rehabilitation after hip fracture: A qualitative systematic review. *International*
369 *Journal of Orthopaedic and Trauma Nursing* 41:100811. doi:
370 10.1016/j.ijotn.2020.100811

371

372 **Figure legends**

373 Fig. 1 Document retrieval.

374

("pelvic fracture" OR "pelvic injury" OR "pelvic trauma")
AND ("rehabilitation" OR "physical therapy" OR "physiotherapy")



201 papers screened (title / abstract search)



35 full texts



166 papers excluded as not relevant